www.cognitivethinking.in

Cognitive Thinking: An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies

(An International, Open Access, Peer-Reviewed , Refereed & ISO Certified Journal)

Vol. 1 & Issue 4 (October - December 2025)

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Kanwar Pal Singh

Democratic Thought and Practice in India:
From Ancient Deliberative Traditions to Digital Democracy

N. Pradeep

Political Science Lecturer, PSC & KVSC GOVT College, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh.

Abstract: This paper understands democracy as a dynamic and globally shared political practice rather than a concept confined to Western historical experience. It challenges the assumption that democracy originated exclusively in ancient Greece by situating democratic ideas within a broader comparative framework. While briefly acknowledging early traditions of collective decision-making in different civilizations, the paper focuses primarily on India's modern democratic evolution and contemporary challenges.

The study examines how colonial rule disrupted participatory practices through hierarchical and exclusionary governance structures, and how independence marked a decisive democratic transition with the adoption of universal adult franchise. This moment enabled unprecedented political inclusion and firmly established electoral democracy in India. However, the paper argues that elections alone are insufficient to sustain democratic vitality and must be complemented by continuous citizen engagement beyond periodic voting.

In this context, the paper explores the emergence of e-governance and digital democracy as transformative developments reshaping citizen–state relations. Digital platforms are examined as tools that expand participation, enhance transparency, and strengthen accountability in governance processes. By positioning India as a democratic laboratory, the paper highlights how diverse democratic models—representative, deliberative, liberal, and substantive—coexist and interact in practice. Ultimately, the paper presents democracy as an adaptive and inclusive human achievement, shaped by historical experience, institutional design, and technological change.

Keywords: Digital Democracy, Deliberative Traditions, Citizen Participation, E-Governance, Representative and Substantive Democracy and Democratic Institutions.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a **secondary research approach**, relying on a comprehensive review of existing literature, reports, scholarly articles, and digital sources on Indian democracy. Sources include

historical accounts of deliberative traditions, colonial governance, post-independence institutional frameworks, citizen participation studies, and contemporary analyses of digital democracy. The methodology involves **critical synthesis and comparative analysis** to trace the evolution of democratic practices, identify challenges such as bureaucratic inertia and low civic awareness, and examine the role of digital tools in enhancing citizen engagement and accountability.

Objectives
1. To **trace the evolution of democracy in India** from deliberative assemblies to modern digital governance.
2. To **analyze the coexistence of multiple democratic models**—representative, deliberative, liberal, and substantive—in practice.
3. To **identify challenges** to democratic consolidation, including electoral limitations, bureaucratic inertia, and low citizen awareness.
4. To **examine the role of digital governance** and participatory mechanisms in strengthening transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement.
5. To **assess lessons from India's democratic experience** for understanding democracy beyond elections in diverse and populous societies.

Introduction:
Democracy is often presented as a political ideal rooted in a distinct Western historical experience, commonly traced back to ancient Greece. This understanding has shaped much of mainstream democratic theory, which tends to view democratic values such as participation, liberty, and self-rule as products of a uniquely Western trajectory. Yet political developments across the world, particularly since the late twentieth century, have increasingly challenged this assumption. Democratic aspirations and practices have emerged in diverse cultural and social contexts, suggesting that democracy is better understood as a dynamic and globally shared political practice rather than a fixed or culturally exclusive model.

Viewed from this broader perspective, democracy is not a static concept but one that evolves in response to changing social conditions, institutional arrangements, and technological transformations. Modern democracy—characterized by universal adult suffrage, competitive elections, and public accountability—is itself a relatively recent phenomenon worldwide. While elections form a central pillar of democratic governance, they alone are insufficient to ensure democratic vitality. Sustained participation, transparency, accountability, and responsive institutions are equally essential for democracy to function meaningfully.

India provides a particularly compelling context for examining these dynamics. As one of the world's largest and most socially diverse democracies, India has managed the extraordinary task of conducting regular elections for a vast population while largely ensuring peaceful transfers of power. The adoption of universal adult franchise at independence marked a decisive democratic break, enabling unprecedented political inclusion across lines of caste, gender, class, and literacy. Over time, India has developed a dense network of constitutional and institutional safeguards—including an independent election commission, judiciary, legislature, media, and civil society—that sustain its democratic framework.

At the same time, India's democratic experience also reveals the limits of an electoral-centric understanding of democracy. Historical legacies of colonial governance, social inequality, and administrative centralization have often constrained meaningful citizen participation beyond

voting. In recent decades, however, rising civic awareness, expanding aspirations, and rapid technological change have begun to reshape the relationship between citizens and the state.

In this context, the emergence of e-governance and digital democracy represents a significant transformation in India's democratic landscape. Digital platforms have opened new spaces for interaction, participation, and accountability, challenging traditional one-way models of governance. By examining India as a democratic laboratory, this paper explores how multiple democratic models—representative, deliberative, liberal, and substantive—interact in practice, and how digital technologies are redefining the future of democratic engagement.

Historical Foundations of Indian Democracy:

India's democratic traditions predate colonial rule, grounded in ancient deliberative institutions. Vedic *sabhas* and *samitis* and Buddhist *sanghas* fostered consultation, debate, and collective decision-making. Republican polities, such as *ganas* and *sanghas*, coexisted alongside monarchies, illustrating early forms of self-governance. These institutions, though limited in participation, reflect a sophisticated understanding of collective decision-making and norms for deliberation.

Historical evidence for these practices comes from Greek accounts during Alexander the Great's invasion, Buddhist texts like the *Pali Canon*, Panini's linguistic works, and Kautilya's *Arthashastra*. These sources reveal structured processes for assemblies, voting, quorums, and dispute resolution. While participation was often restricted to elites or specific social groups, the principles of debate, consensus, and shared decision-making laid a foundation for participatory governance.

Decline of Deliberative Traditions and Colonial Interruption

In pre-colonial India, villages and local communities exercised considerable autonomy through assemblies and councils, managing local affairs, resolving disputes, and overseeing resource distribution. These deliberative institutions were rooted in collective decision-making, where elders and community representatives played key roles in governance. However, over time, these practices gradually weakened due to internal and external pressures. The rise of centralized monarchies, such as those under the Delhi Sultanate and the Mughal Empire, curtailed the political authority of local assemblies. While local customs persisted socially, their official power to govern diminished as appointed officials increasingly mediated between communities and the state.

The advent of British colonial rule in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries brought a more profound disruption. The colonial administration prioritized centralized authority and revenue extraction, fundamentally altering India's political landscape. The introduction of land revenue systems—such as the **Permanent Settlement (1793)**, **Ryotwari**, and **Mahalwari** systems—bypassed traditional village councils, replacing them with intermediaries like zamindars and directly assessed riots. This undermined the role of village bodies in land management, dispute resolution, and community welfare.

Under colonial rule, governance became increasingly top-down. District collectors, magistrates, and other officials exercised decision-making authority, relegating village assemblies to minor administrative functions. Customary dispute-resolution mechanisms were supplanted by formal courts and codified laws, often conflicting with local norms. Attempts at local self-governance, such as Lord Ripon's 1882 resolution promoting elected rural boards, introduced early elements of representative governance but were limited in scope, heavily supervised, and unable to restore the autonomy once exercised by indigenous councils.

The cumulative effect of these changes created **structural inequalities and hierarchical governance cultures** that persisted even after independence. Local decision-making was subordinated to bureaucratic authority, civic participation was curtailed, and governance became more about compliance than dialogue. These legacies posed challenges for post-independence India, which would later seek to revive participatory institutions and embed citizen engagement as a core feature of its democratic system.

Post-Independence Democratic Consolidation

Independence in 1947 marked a defining moment in India's democratic journey. As a newly sovereign nation, India faced the enormous task of building a democratic system for an extraordinarily large and diverse population. One of the boldest choices made at this moment was the adoption of **universal adult franchise**. The Constitution granted every adult citizen the right to vote, regardless of caste, gender, class, religion, or education. At a time when many countries restricted voting rights, this decision was both radical and deeply inclusive. Article 326 of the Constitution formalized this commitment, making India one of the most participatory democracies in the post-war world.

The **first general elections of 1951–52** turned this constitutional promise into reality. Spread over several months and conducted across thousands of polling stations, the elections brought nearly **175 million voters** into the democratic process. This achievement was especially striking given the realities of widespread illiteracy, poor infrastructure, and deep social inequalities. Over time, democracy became even more inclusive. The **61st Constitutional Amendment in 1988**, which lowered the voting age from 21 to 18, further expanded participation by bringing younger citizens into the political fold.

Elections soon became the lifeblood of Indian democracy, ensuring regular competition for power and giving citizens a voice in choosing their representatives. Yet, voting alone was never enough. A healthy democracy also depends on citizens who are informed, engaged, and connected to decision-making processes beyond election day. This requires strong institutions, respect for the rule of law, and spaces where public concerns can be heard throughout the political cycle.

To support this vision, India built a robust set of democratic institutions. The **Election Commission of India**, established in 1950, has played a central role in safeguarding free and fair elections. Its independence and wide-ranging powers—from preparing electoral rolls to enforcing the Model Code of Conduct—have helped maintain public trust in the electoral process.

An independent judiciary has been equally important. The **Supreme Court and High Courts**, protected from executive interference, have acted as guardians of fundamental rights and arbiters of electoral disputes. Alongside them, legislatures at the national and state levels provide spaces for debate, law-making, and executive accountability. A relatively free media and a vibrant civil society further strengthen democracy by encouraging public discussion, criticism, and citizen mobilization.

Over the decades, these institutions have enabled Indian democracy to endure periods of political upheaval and social change. Regular elections, peaceful transfers of power, the growing presence of regional and marginalized voices, and the steady expansion of rights have all deepened democratic norms. Ultimately, however, the strength of Indian democracy rests not only on procedures and institutions, but on the continued participation of informed and engaged citizens across all sections of society.

Citizen Participation and Civic Capacities

For much of India's history, governance functioned largely as a one-way process. Decisions were made by the state, and citizens were expected to follow them. During colonial rule—and even in the early decades after independence—administrative systems emphasized control, stability, and efficiency rather than public participation. Over time, however, this relationship has changed. Rising public awareness, expanding education, and sustained social movements have encouraged citizens to move from passive compliance to active engagement with public institutions.

At the heart of this shift is the growth of **civic capacities**—the knowledge, skills, and opportunities that allow people to participate meaningfully in democratic life. Awareness of constitutional rights, such as equality, freedom of expression, and access to legal remedies, has given citizens greater confidence to question authority. Equally important is access to information, which enables people to understand how decisions are made and how public resources are used.

The **Right to Information (RTI) Act of 2005** marked a turning point in this transformation. By allowing citizens to seek information from public authorities, RTI opened government records to public scrutiny. Ordinary individuals—farmers, students, journalists, and activists—have used RTI to expose corruption, administrative lapses, and misuse of funds. In doing so, the Act helped shift the balance of power, making transparency a citizen right rather than a bureaucratic privilege. Another step toward accountable governance has been the introduction of **Citizen Charters**. These charters require government departments to clearly state the services they provide, the standards they promise, and the timeframes within which citizens can expect results. By setting these expectations publicly, Citizen Charters give people a basis to question delays and demand better performance.

Social audits have further strengthened participation, especially in rural areas. Under programs such as **MGNREGA**, communities are encouraged to review official records, verify wage payments, and assess the quality of public works. These audits, often conducted in open meetings, allow citizens to collectively identify problems and demand corrections. In many states, social audits have reduced leakages and increased trust in welfare programs.

Grassroots democracy has also been strengthened through **Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)** and **Urban Local Bodies (ULBs)**. The 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments gave constitutional status to local governments, ensuring regular elections and greater decentralization of power. In villages, **gram sabhas** have become important spaces where residents discuss development priorities, monitor local spending, and voice concerns. States like Kerala and Karnataka show how strong local institutions can deepen accountability and make governance more responsive.

In urban areas, civic engagement has expanded through ward committees, participatory budgeting, and public consultations. Initiatives under the **Smart Cities Mission** and other urban reforms have introduced digital platforms that allow residents to share feedback and influence decisions on services such as transport, sanitation, and infrastructure.

Beyond formal institutions, **civil society organizations and popular movements** have played a vital role in nurturing democratic participation. Mass mobilizations, such as the 2011 anti-corruption movement led by Anna Hazare, demonstrated the power of collective action in demanding transparency and reform. At the grassroots level, community groups working on education, health, environmental protection, and gender justice continue to empower citizens to claim their rights and hold authorities accountable.

Taken together, these developments reflect a significant transformation in Indian democracy. Citizens are no longer just recipients of government policies; they are increasingly partners in

governance. While challenges remain—especially unequal access to information and uneven institutional performance—the steady growth of civic capacities has made Indian democracy more participatory, accountable, and responsive to the everyday concerns of its people.

Emergence of Digital Democracy

The rapid expansion of information and communication technologies has reshaped the functioning of Indian democracy by opening new spaces for interaction between citizens and the state. Digital platforms have made governance more accessible, participatory, and transparent, allowing citizens to engage with public institutions beyond periodic elections.

Initiatives such as **MyGov** enable citizens to share ideas, feedback, and suggestions on public policies, while **CPGRAMS** allows individuals to register and track grievances in real time. **Aadhaar** has streamlined identity verification, **Digi Locker** provides secure digital access to official documents, and **RTI online portals** have simplified access to public information. The **Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT)** system has reduced leakages by delivering welfare benefits directly to beneficiaries' bank accounts. Platforms like **UMANG** further consolidate multiple public services into a single, user-friendly mobile application.

At the state level, initiatives such as **WhatsApp Governance** in Andhra Pradesh, **e-Panchayat**, and **e-Courts** have improved service delivery, transparency, and access to justice. Together, these digital tools illustrate how technology can support democratic values by making institutions more responsive and accountable.

However, digital democracy is effective only when supported by strong legal frameworks, accountable institutions, trained personnel, ethical standards, decentralized decision-making, and reliable grievance redressal mechanisms. National programs such as **Digital India** and **Bharat Net** aim to bridge the digital divide and promote inclusive participation, especially in rural and remote areas.

When implemented thoughtfully, digital governance complements traditional democratic practices by deepening citizen engagement, enhancing transparency, and strengthening public trust. India's experience demonstrates the potential of technology to reinforce democracy in a large and diverse society.

India as a Democratic Laboratory

India's democratic experience stands out for the way multiple models of democracy operate simultaneously, making it a valuable laboratory for understanding democratic practice in complex societies. **Representative democracy** functions through regular elections at national, state, and local levels. The sheer scale of participation is striking—by 2024, over **968.8 million citizens** were registered to vote, reflecting the depth of electoral engagement.

Alongside elections, **deliberative democratic practices** operate at the grassroots level. **Gram sabhas** under the Panchayati Raj system provide spaces where citizens discuss local issues and approve development plans. States such as Kerala and Chhattisgarh have strengthened participatory planning through decentralized governance, giving communities a direct voice in decision-making.

Liberal democratic principles are upheld through constitutional rights, judicial review, and independent institutions. Landmark Supreme Court judgments, including *Kesavananda Bharati* (1973) and rulings strengthening the Right to Information, have reinforced accountability, civil liberties, and the rule of law. Legislative measures such as the Right to Education Act and affirmative action for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes further institutionalize equality and inclusion.

At the same time, **substantive democracy** is reflected in efforts to promote social justice and reduce inequality. Programs like **MGNREGA**, **Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana**, and welfare delivery through **DBT** seek to ensure that democratic participation is matched by tangible improvements in living conditions. Digital platforms have strengthened these initiatives by improving access, transparency, and inclusion, particularly for marginalized groups.

Together, these democratic forms—representative, deliberative, liberal, and substantive—interact to create a dynamic and adaptive system. India's experience shows that democracy can endure and evolve despite vast diversity, social inequality, and scale. It also highlights how institutional innovation, especially through digital governance, can deepen participation, enhance accountability, and sustain democratic vitality in a pluralistic society.

Challenges and Findings: Limits of Electoral Democracy and Governance

India's democratic experience is often celebrated for its diversity, scale, and institutional continuity. Yet, like many democracies across the world, it faces growing tensions between democratic ideals and everyday governance. One of the central concerns raised by scholars is the tendency to treat elections as the sole marker of democracy, while overlooking how power is actually exercised and experienced by citizens.

Key Challenges

Concentration of Power and Wealth: Although elections are meant to give every citizen an equal voice, in practice political influence often rests with those who possess money, networks, and visibility. High campaign costs and elite dominance mean that ordinary citizens may vote regularly but still feel distant from real decision-making.

Growing Disenchantment and Populist Politics: When electoral systems fail to deliver meaningful change, public frustration grows. This has created space for leaders who claim to speak directly for "the people" while questioning the role of institutions such as courts, the media, and opposition parties. Over time, this weakens democratic checks and balances.

Limited Awareness and Everyday Participation: Many citizens are legally empowered but practically disengaged. A lack of awareness about rights, procedures, and participatory spaces reduces the ability of people to question authority or shape policy. Democracy then becomes an occasional act of voting rather than a continuous civic practice.

Administrative Distance and Bureaucratic Delay: For many citizens, the state is experienced through offices, forms, and long waiting periods. Procedural rigidity and slow grievance redressal often make governance appear unresponsive, leading to declining trust in public institutions.

Challenges of Digital Democracy: Digital platforms have opened new avenues for participation, but they also reveal new inequalities. Limited access to technology and digital skills continues to exclude large sections of society. At the same time, concerns about data privacy, surveillance, and biased algorithms raise questions about who truly benefits from digital governance and whose voices are being amplified or ignored.

Narrow Understanding of Democracy: Reducing democracy to elections alone hides these deeper structural problems. Voting is essential, but without continuous participation, transparency, and accountability, it cannot guarantee genuine democratic control.

Findings

- Democracy works best when it goes beyond elections and actively enables citizens to influence decisions that affect their lives.

- Participatory spaces—such as gram sabhas, ward committees, social audits, and digital platforms like MyGov, UMANG and CPGRAMS—help bridge the gap between citizens and the state.
- Strengthening democracy requires investing in civic awareness, digital literacy, and everyday engagement, not just electoral turnout.
- Responsive governance depends on administrative reform, transparency, and accountability that make institutions accessible and trustworthy.
- India's democratic strength lies in its ability to combine representative, deliberative, and digital practices, making democracy more inclusive, resilient, and meaningful.
-

Conclusion

India's democratic journey—from ancient deliberative assemblies to contemporary governance—illustrates the adaptability, resilience, and complexity of democratic institutions in a diverse and populous society. The coexistence of multiple democratic models—representative, deliberative, liberal, and substantive—creates a vibrant mosaic where elections, public deliberation, rights-based frameworks, and social justice initiatives reinforce one another.

While India has achieved remarkable success in conducting large-scale elections, ensuring peaceful transfers of power, and expanding institutional mechanisms for citizen participation, challenges persist. Low citizen awareness, bureaucratic inertia, and elite capture highlight the limits of equating democracy solely with elections. The rise of populist leaders and disillusionment with formal electoral processes underscores the need to broaden democratic practice beyond voting to include participatory, deliberative, and accountability-enhancing mechanisms.

The integration of digital governance has transformed citizen–state interactions, enhancing transparency, responsiveness, and participation. However, the effectiveness of these innovations depends on legal safeguards, institutional capacity, ethical standards, and civic literacy.

Ultimately, sustaining a robust democracy requires linking electoral processes with participatory institutions, citizen empowerment, and institutional innovation. India's experience demonstrates that democracy is not a static concept but a dynamic, evolving system. By addressing structural challenges and fostering civic capacities, India offers valuable lessons on how democracy can thrive under conditions of diversity, inequality, and scale.

Works Cited and Consulted

☐ Piano, Natasha. *"What Is Democracy Beyond Elections?" Pro Market*, 21 May 2025, www.promarket.org/2025/05/21/what-is-democracy-beyond-elections/. Accessed [date you accessed]. ProMarket

☐ Democracy *Beyond Elections?* Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES), library.fes.de/pdf-files/bueros/Madagascar/05860.pdf. Accessed [date you accessed]. Friedrich Ebert Foundation Library

☐ Muhlberger, Steve. *"Democracy in Ancient India."* Indian Knowledge Systems, 2016, iks.iitgn.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Democracy-in-Ancient-India-S-Muhlberger.pdf. Accessed [date you accessed]. Indian Knowledge Systems

☐ *"Tracing the Evolution of Local Governance in India." Human Development and Communication*, 13 June 2025, journalism.

university/human-development-and-communication/evolution-local-governance-india. Accessed [date you accessed]. Journalism & Mass Communication Hub

☐ *"Panchayati Raj in India: Historical Background." Public Administration Institute*, pubadmin. institute/decentralisation-and-local-governance/historical-background-panchayati-raj-india. Accessed [11-11-2025]

Begum, Dr Sayyada. (2025). The Politics of Refugee Settlement and Morichjapi Massacre in Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide'. Cognitive Thinking: An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 1(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16919848

Thakur, Santosh Kondising. (2025). Plastic Waste Reduction Strategies for Urban Sustainability. Cognitive Thinking: An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 47–52. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16919577